arXiv:1611.00498v1 [math.PR] 2 Nov 2016

A coupled KPZ equation, its two types of approximations and existence of global solutions

Tadahisa Funaki and Masato Hoshino

November 3, 2016

Abstract

This paper concerns the multi-component coupled Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation and its two types of approximations. One approximation is obtained as a simple replacement of the noise term by a smeared noise with a proper renormalization, while the other one introduced in [6] is suitable for studying the invariant measures. By applying the paracontrolled calculus introduced by Gubinelli et al. [8], [9], we show that two approximations have the common limit under the properly adjusted choice of renormalization factors for each of these approximations. In particular, if the coupling constants of the nonlinear term of the coupled KPZ equation satisfy the so-called "trilinear" condition, the renormalization factors can be taken the same in two approximations and the difference of the limits of two approximations are explicitly computed. Moreover, under the trilinear condition, the Wiener measure twisted by the diffusion matrix becomes stationary for the limit and we show that the solution of the limit equation exists globally in time when the initial value is sampled from the stationary measure. This is shown for the associated tilt process.

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Coupled KPZ equation

We consider the following $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued coupled KPZ equation for $h(t,x) = (h^\alpha(t,x))_{\alpha=1}^d$ defined on the one dimensional torus $\mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0,1)$:

$$\partial_t h^\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 h^\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x h^\beta \partial_x h^\gamma + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{1.1}$$

for $1 \le \alpha \le d$. Here summation symbols $\sum$ over β and γ are omitted by Einstein's convention. $(\sigma^\alpha_\beta)_{1\le\alpha,\beta\le d}$ and $(\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma})_{1\le\alpha,\beta,\gamma\le d}$ are given constants, and $\xi(t,x) = (\xi^\alpha(t,x))_{\alpha=1}^d$ is an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued space-time Gaussian white noise. In particular, it has the covariance structure

$$E[\xi^\alpha(t,x)\xi^\beta(s,y)] = \delta^{\alpha\beta}\delta(x-y)\delta(t-s),$$

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.
e-mails: funaki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp, hoshino@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Keywords: Stochastic partial differential equation, KPZ equation, Paracontrolled calculus, Renormalization.
Abbreviated title (running head): Coupled KPZ equation.
2010 MSC: 60H15, 82C28.
The first author is supported in part by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers (B) 26287014 and 26610019.
The second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows, 16J03010.

where $\delta^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes Kronecker's δ. We always assume that the coupling constants $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ satisfy

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\beta} \tag{1.2}$$

for all α, β, γ, and the diffusion matrix $\sigma = (\sigma^\alpha_\beta)_{1\le\alpha,\beta\le d}$ is invertible. The symmetry or bilinearity (1.2) of $\Gamma^\alpha = (\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma})_{\beta\gamma}$ for each α is natural due to the form of the equation (1.1).

One of the motivations to study the coupled KPZ equation (1.1) comes from the nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics recently discussed by Spohn and others [5], [16], [17], whose origin goes back to Landau. From microscopic systems with random evolutions, in a proper space-time scaling, one can derive certain nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) as a result of a local average due to the local ergodicity. This procedure is called the hydrodynamic limit. If the system has d (local) conserved quantities, we have a system of d coupled nonlinear PDEs in the limit. The noises in the microscopic systems are averaged out and disappear in the macroscopic limit equations. However, if we consider a linearization of this system around a global equilibrium, the noise terms survive in a proper scaling and we obtain linear stochastic PDEs (SPDEs) in the limit. At least heuristically, if the system involves a weak asymmetry and if we expand the equation to the second order, one can expect to obtain the coupled KPZ equations in the limit in a proper scaling. If some of $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ are degenerate, then the solution involves different scalings such as diffusive, KPZ or (anomalous) Lévy type scalings.

1.2 Two approximating equations

The coupled KPZ equation (1.1) itself is ill-posed, so that we need to introduce its approximations; see [7] for a scalar-valued KPZ equation. A simple approximation of (1.1) is defined as follows. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying $\eta(x) = \eta(-x)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(x)dx = 1$; note that η may not be non-negative. We set $\eta^\varepsilon(x) = \eta(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued KPZ approximating equation for $h = h^\varepsilon(t,x) \equiv (h^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t,x))_{\alpha=1}^d$ with a smeared noise and a proper renormalization:

$$\partial_t h^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 h^{\varepsilon,\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x h^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x h^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma} - B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}) + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta * \eta^\varepsilon, \tag{1.3}$$

for $1 \le \alpha \le d$, where $A^{\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\delta=1}^d \sigma^\beta_\delta \sigma^\gamma_\delta$, $c^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\eta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ and $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ is a renormalization factor chosen later, which diverges as $O(-\log\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in general. We consider $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, so that the support of η^ε is in the interval $(-1/2, 1/2)$.

Another approximation of (1.1) suitable for studying invariant measures is introduced as follows. Let $\eta_2(x) = \eta * \eta(x)$, $\eta_2^\varepsilon(x) = \eta_2(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$ and consider the following $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued equation for $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}^\varepsilon(t,x) \equiv (\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t,x))_{\alpha=1}^d$ with a smeared noise and a proper renormalization:

$$\partial_t \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma} - \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}) * \eta_2^\varepsilon + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta * \eta^\varepsilon, \tag{1.4}$$

for $1 \le \alpha \le d$, where $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ is a renormalization factor chosen later, which diverges as $O(-\log\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in general. We assume that the support of η_2^ε is in $(-1/2, 1/2)$. The difference of (1.4) from (1.3) is that it has a convolution factor $*\eta_2^\varepsilon$ in the nonlinear term.

In [6], assuming that σ is an identity matrix I, under the additional assumption, which we call the trilinear condition, on Γ:

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \Gamma^{\beta}_{\gamma\alpha}, \tag{1.5}$$

for all α, β, γ, the infinitesimal invariance of the smeared Wiener measure for the tilt process $u = \partial_x \tilde{h}$ of the solution $\tilde{h}$ of (1.4) with $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ is shown (actually on $\mathbb{R}$ instead of $\mathbb{T}$). Namely, let $(B_x)_{x\in\mathbb{T}} = \big((B^{\alpha}_x)^d_{\alpha=1}\big)_{x\in\mathbb{T}}$ be the d-dimensional periodic Brownian motion such that $B_0 = B_1 = 0$ a.s. Then the distribution of $\partial_x(B * \eta^{\varepsilon})$ is infinitesimally invariant for $u = \partial_x \tilde{h}$ determined from (1.4) with $\sigma = I$ and $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$.

This result can be easily extended to our general setting with σ. Indeed, let $\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon} = (\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha})$ be the solution of (1.4) with $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ and set $\hat{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} := \tau^{\alpha}_{\beta}\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\beta}$, where $\tau = (\tau^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ is the inverse matrix of σ. Then, we easily see that $\hat{h}^{\varepsilon} = (\hat{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha})$ is a solution of (1.4) with $(\sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}\xi^{\beta} * \eta^{\varepsilon}, A^{\beta\gamma}, \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}, \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma})$ replaced by $(\xi^{\alpha} * \eta^{\varepsilon}, \delta^{\beta\gamma}, \tau^{\beta}_{\beta'}\tau^{\gamma}_{\gamma'}\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta'\gamma'}, \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma})$, where

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \tau^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\gamma'}\sigma^{\beta'}_{\beta}\sigma^{\gamma'}_{\gamma}, \tag{1.6}$$

which arises from Γ under the change of variables $\hat{h} = \tau\tilde{h}$. Note that the bilinearity (1.2): $\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta}$ automatically holds. Therefore, if $\hat{\Gamma}$ determined from Γ as in (1.6) satisfies the trilinear condition:

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{\gamma\alpha}, \tag{1.7}$$

for all α, β, γ, then the distribution of the derivative of the d-dimensional periodic and smeared Brownian motion $\big(\partial_x(\sigma B * \eta^{\varepsilon})\big)_{x\in\mathbb{T}} = \big((\partial_x\sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}B^{\beta} * \eta^{\varepsilon}(x))^d_{\alpha=1}\big)_{x\in\mathbb{T}}$ multiplied by σ is infinitesimally invariant for the tilt process $u = \partial_x\tilde{h}$ of the solution $\tilde{h}$ of (1.4) with $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$.

When $d = 1$ and $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 1$ for simplicity, the approximating equations (1.3) with $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ and (1.4) with $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ have the forms:

$$\partial_t h = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 h + \tfrac{1}{2}\big((\partial_x h)^2 - c^{\varepsilon}\big) + \xi * \eta^{\varepsilon}, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\partial_t \tilde{h} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \tilde{h} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big((\partial_x \tilde{h})^2 - c^{\varepsilon}\big) * \eta_2^{\varepsilon} + \xi * \eta^{\varepsilon}, \tag{1.9}$$

respectively. It is shown that the solution of (1.8) converges as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to the so-called Cole-Hopf solution $h_{\mathrm{CH}}(t,x)$ of the KPZ equation [10], [11], while the solution of (1.9) converges to $h_{\mathrm{CH}}(t,x) + \frac{1}{24}t$ under the equilibrium setting [7] and the non-equilibrium setting for a maximal solution [13]. The method of [7] is based on the Cole-Hopf transform, which is not available for our multi-component coupled equation in general.

1.3 Main results

Our first goal is to study the limits of the solutions of two types of approximating equations (1.3) and (1.4) as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ based on the paracontrolled calculus introduced by Gubinelli et al. [8], [9] as in [13] for $d = 1$. Especially, we study the difference between these two limits, which extends the results for the scalar-valued KPZ equation mentioned above. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\mathcal{C}^{\kappa})^r := \mathcal{B}^{\kappa}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R}^r)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}^r$-valued Besov space on $\mathbb{T}$. Our first two main theorems are formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (1) *Assume* $h(0) \in \bigcup_{\delta>0}(\mathcal{C}^\delta)^d$, *then a unique solution* h^ε *of the KPZ approximating equation* (1.3) *exists up to the survival time* $T^\varepsilon_{\rm sur} \in (0,\infty]$ *(i.e.* $T^\varepsilon_{\rm sur} = \infty$ *or* $\lim_{t\uparrow T^\varepsilon_{\rm sur}} \|h^\varepsilon\|_{C([0,t],(\mathcal{C}^\delta)^d)} = \infty$*). With a proper choice of* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$, *there exists* $0 < T_{\rm sur} \le \liminf_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} T^\varepsilon_{\rm sur}$ *and* h^ε *converges in probability as* $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ *to some* h *in* $C((0,T],(\mathcal{C}^{1/2-\delta})^d)$ *for every* $\delta > 0$ *and* $0 < T < T_{\rm sur}$. *This* $T_{\rm sur}$ *can be chosen maximal in the sense that* $T_{\rm sur} = \infty$ *or* $\lim_{T\uparrow T_{\rm sur}} \|h\|_{C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}^\delta)^d)} = \infty$. *The survival time* $T_{\rm sur}$ *depends on the initial value* $h(0)$ *and driving processes introduced in Section 3.2.*
(2) *A similar result holds for the solution* $\tilde h^\varepsilon$ *of the KPZ approximating equation* (1.4) *with some limit* $\tilde h$ *under a proper choice of* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$. *Moreover, under a well-adjusted choice of the renormalization factors* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ *and* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$, *one can make* $h = \tilde h$.

Theorem 1.2. (1) *We assume the trilinear condition* (1.7). *Then, both* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ *and* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ *behave as* $O(1)$, *so that the solutions of* (1.3) *with* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ *and* (1.4) *with* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ *converge as* $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. *In the limit, we have*

$$\tilde h^\alpha(t,x) = h^\alpha(t,x) + c^\alpha t, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le d,$$

where

$$c^\alpha = \frac{1}{24}\sum_{\gamma,\gamma'} \sigma^\alpha_\beta \hat\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha'\alpha''}\hat\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\gamma\gamma'}\hat\Gamma^{\alpha''}_{\gamma\gamma'}.$$

(2) *In contrast, if the trilinear condition* (1.7) *is violated and* $\Gamma^\alpha = (\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma})_{\beta,\gamma}$ *is invertible for every* α, *then both* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ *and* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ *diverge and the solutions of* (1.3) *with* $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ *and* (1.4) *with* $\tilde B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$ *also diverge as* $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Our second goal is to show the global-in-time existence of the limit process h under the condition (1.7). Let μ_A be the Gaussian measure on the space $(\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d := \{u \in (\mathcal{C}^{1/2-\delta})^d\,;\int_{\mathbb{T}} u = 0\}$, $\delta > 0$, under which $u = (u^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d$ has the covariance

$$E[u^\alpha(x)u^\beta(y)] = A^{\alpha\beta}\delta(x-y).$$

Note that μ_A is the distribution of $(\partial_x \sigma B)_{x\in\mathbb{T}}$, which is the limit in law of that of $\big(\partial_x(\sigma B * \eta^\varepsilon)\big)_{x\in\mathbb{T}}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. When $\sigma = I$, μ_A is called an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued spatial white noise on $\mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 1.3. *We assume the trilinear condition* (1.7). *Then there exists a subset* $H \subset (\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d$ *such that* $\mu_A(H) = 1$, *and if* $\partial_x h(0) \in H$, *the convergence to the limiting process* h *as above holds on whole* $[0,\infty)$ *almost surely. Moreover, the spatial derivative* $u = \partial_x h$ *of the limit process* h *is a Markov process on* $(\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d$ *which admits* μ_A *as an invariant measure.*

Remark 1.1. *Proposition 5.4 of Hairer and Mattingly [12] (combined with Theorem 1.3) shows that the limit process* h *exists on* $[0,\infty)$ *almost surely for all initial values* $h(0) \in (\mathcal{C}^{1/2-\delta})^d$, *since the measure* μ_A *has a dense support in* $(\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d$.

Finally in this subsection, we note that the Cole-Hopf transform works for the coupled KPZ equation (1.1) in special cases. For example, Ertaş and Kardar [4] considered the $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h^1 &= \tfrac12\partial_x^2 h^1 + \tfrac12\{\lambda_1(\partial_x h^1)^2 + \lambda_2(\partial_x h^2)^2\} + \sigma_1\xi^1, \\ \partial_t h^2 &= \tfrac12\partial_x^2 h^2 + \lambda_1\partial_x h^1\partial_x h^2 + \sigma_2\xi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

as a linearizable case. In general, if we assume that there exists an invertible matrix $s = (s^\alpha_\beta)_{1\le\alpha,\beta\le d}$ (s may be complex valued) such that

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha'} (s^{-1})^\alpha_{\alpha'} s^{\alpha'}_\beta \, s^{\alpha'}_\gamma , \tag{1.11}$$

then $\hat h^\alpha = s^\alpha_\beta h^\beta$ defined from the solution h of (1.1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat h^\alpha &= \tfrac12 \partial_x^2 \hat h^\alpha + \tfrac12 s^\alpha_{\alpha'} \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta\gamma} \partial_x h^\beta \partial_x h^\gamma + s^\alpha_\beta \sigma^\beta_\gamma \xi^\gamma \\ &= \tfrac12 \partial_x^2 \hat h^\alpha + \tfrac12 (\partial_x \hat h^\alpha)^2 + s^\alpha_\beta \sigma^\beta_\gamma \xi^\gamma . \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

In this way, the nonlinear term is decoupled. Hence the Cole-Hopf transform $Z^\alpha = \exp \hat h^\alpha$ linearizes (1.1), so that the argument in [9] yields the global existence of h. In fact, the equation (1.10) satisfies the condition (1.11) with $s = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_1 & (\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2} \\ \lambda_1 & -(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{1/2} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Meanwhile, even if $d = 2$, the matrices $\Gamma^1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\Gamma^2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ satisfy both the bilinear and trilinear conditions (1.2) and (1.7), but not (1.11).

As for the invariant measure, the tilt process $\partial_x \hat h^\alpha$ of each component $\hat h^\alpha$ of the transformed process has the distribution μ_α of $\big(\sqrt{\sum_\gamma (s^\alpha_\beta \sigma^\beta_\gamma)^2}\, \partial_x B\big)_{x\in\mathbb{T}}$ as its invariant measure, where B is the 1-dimensional periodic Brownian motion. This is seen by applying the result of [7] or Theorem 1.3 stated above for each component noting that $s^\alpha_\beta \sigma^\beta_\gamma \xi^\gamma$ in (1.12) is the scalar-valued space-time white noise with covariance $\sum_\gamma (s^\alpha_\beta \sigma^\beta_\gamma)^2$. In particular, if (1.11) holds, we see that the tilt process $u = \partial_x h$ of the solution $h = (h^\alpha)$ of (1.1) in the limit with a suitable renormalization has an invariant measure, whose marginals under the transform $\hat h = sh$ is given by μ_α for each α. Indeed, with the help of Rellich type theorem, one can easily show the tightness on the space $(\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-\delta})^d$ of the Cesàro mean $\mu_T = \frac1T \int_0^T \mu(t)dt$ over $[0,T]$ of the distributions $\mu(t)$ of $\partial_x \hat h(t)$ having an initial distribution $\otimes_\alpha \mu_\alpha$, so that the limit distribution of μ_T as $T\to\infty$ is the invariant measure. However, the joint distribution of such invariant measure is unclear.

1.4 Notations and organization of the paper

The Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\varphi = \mathcal{F}\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\varphi(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x\theta} \eta(x)dx$, $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. When η is even and satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(x) = 1$, then φ is real-valued and satisfies $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi(\theta) = \varphi(-\theta)$. The convolution operators $*\eta^\varepsilon$ and $*\eta_2^\varepsilon$ are represented by the Fourier multipliers $\varphi(\varepsilon D)$ and $\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)$, where $\varphi(D)u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi \mathcal{F} u)$.

We also consider the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{T}$ and use the same notation $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}u(k) = \hat u(k) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i k x} u(x)dx, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1} v(x) &= \sum_k e^{2\pi i k x} v(k), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the heat kernel associated with $\partial_t - \frac12\partial_x^2$ is given by

$$p(t,x) = \sum_k e^{2\pi i k x} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 t} \Big(= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 t}\big)(x)\Big), \quad t>0,\ x\in\mathbb{T}.$$

The noises $\xi^\beta(t,x)$ are transformed into complex-valued white noises $\xi^{\beta,k}(s) = (\mathcal{F}\xi^\beta(s,\cdot))(k)$ such that $\overline{\xi^{\beta,k}(s)} = \xi^{\beta,-k}(s)$ and

$$E[\xi^{\beta,k_1}(t)\xi^{\gamma,k_2}(s)] = \delta^{\beta\gamma}\delta(t-s)1_{\{k_1+k_2=0\}}. \tag{1.13}$$

In fact, the left hand side of (1.13) is given by

$$E\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i k_1 x}\xi^\beta(t,x)dx \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i k_2 y}\xi^\gamma(s,y)dy\right] = \delta^{\beta\gamma}\delta(t-s)\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i k_1 x}e^{-2\pi i k_2 x}dx.$$

The smeared noise is defined by $\xi * \eta^\varepsilon = \varphi(\varepsilon D)\xi$, where $\varphi(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi\mathcal{F}u)$ as we mentioned above.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, following [13] we formulate a fixed point problem associated with (1.1) and solve it by constructing a deterministic solution map from the initial value and deterministic driving terms. In Section 3, we prove the probabilistic part of Theorem 1.1, i.e., we give controls of stochastic drivers and calculations of renormalization factors. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 under the trilinear condition (1.7). In Section 5, we repeat the same arguments as in Section 2 for the stochastic Burgers equation:

$$\partial_t u^\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u^\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u^\beta u^\gamma) + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \partial_x \xi^\beta,$$

and construct a well-defined solution map. We show the invariance of μ_A under (1.1) at Burgers level and at last prove Theorem 1.3.

2 Formal expansion and solving the coupled KPZ equation

2.1 Preliminary consideration due to formal expansion

In the coupled KPZ equation (1.1), we think of the noise as the leading term and the nonlinear term as its perturbation. Although we eventually take $a = 1$, we put $a > 0$ in front of the nonlinear term:

$$\mathcal{L}h^\alpha = \frac{a}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x h^\beta \partial_x h^\gamma + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2$. Then, at least formally, one can expand the solution h in a:

$$h^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k h^\alpha_k. \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, by inserting (2.2) to (2.1), we have that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k \mathcal{L}h^\alpha_k = \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta + \frac{a}{2}\sum_{k_1,k_2=0}^\infty a^{k_1+k_2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x h^\beta_{k_1}\partial_x h^\gamma_{k_2}.$$

Thus, comparing the terms of order a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3 in both sides and noting the condition (1.2), we obtain the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}h_0^\alpha &= \sigma_\beta^\alpha \xi^\beta, \\ \mathcal{L}h_1^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h_0^\beta \partial_x h_0^\gamma, \\ \mathcal{L}h_2^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h_1^\beta \partial_x h_0^\gamma, \\ \mathcal{L}h_3^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h_1^\beta \partial_x h_1^\gamma + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h_2^\beta \partial_x h_0^\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The first equation determines h_0^α, which is actually the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and $h_0^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{1/2-} := \bigcap_{\delta>0} \mathcal{C}^{1/2-\delta}$ in x. Therefore, the product $\partial_x h_0^\beta \partial_x h_0^\gamma$ in the second equation is not definable in a usual sense. When ξ^β is replaced by the smeared noise $\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta} := \xi^\beta * \eta^\varepsilon$, this product makes sense, since $h_0^\alpha \in C^\infty$ for such case. However, as we will see later in (2.6), for h_1^α to converge, we need to introduce a renormalization. At this moment, we just assume $h_1^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{1-}$ (note $-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + 2 = 1$) and then $h_2^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{3/2-}$ (note $-\frac{1}{2} + 0 + 2 = \frac{3}{2}$) are defined in some sense. We denote $h_0^\alpha, h_1^\alpha, h_2^\alpha$ with stationary initial values by $H_{\uparrow}^\alpha, H_{\curlyvee}^\alpha, H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\alpha$, respectively, see Section 3 for details.

After defining $H_{\uparrow}^\alpha, H_{\curlyvee}^\alpha, H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\alpha$, the KPZ equation (with $a = 1$) for $h^\alpha = H_{\uparrow}^\alpha + H_{\curlyvee}^\alpha + H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\alpha + h_{\geq 3}^\alpha$ can be rewritten into an equation for the remainder term $h_{\geq 3}$:

$$\mathcal{L}h_{\geq 3}^\alpha = \Phi^\alpha + \mathcal{L}h_3^\alpha, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Phi^\alpha = \Phi^\alpha(H_{\uparrow}, H_{\curlyvee}, H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}, h_{\geq 3})$ is given by

$$\Phi^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h_{\geq 3}^\beta \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^\gamma + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha (\partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta + \partial_x h_{\geq 3}^\beta) \partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha (\partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta + \partial_x h_{\geq 3}^\beta)(\partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\gamma + \partial_x h_{\geq 3}^\gamma).$$

This is easily obtained from (1.1) and (2.3) by computing $\mathcal{L}h^\alpha - \mathcal{L}H_{\uparrow}^\alpha - \mathcal{L}H_{\curlyvee}^\alpha - \mathcal{L}H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\alpha$ and expanding $\mathcal{L}h^\alpha - \mathcal{L}H_{\uparrow}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x (H_{\uparrow}^\beta + H_{\curlyvee}^\beta + H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta + h_{\geq 3}^\beta) \partial_x (H_{\uparrow}^\gamma + H_{\curlyvee}^\gamma + H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\gamma + h_{\geq 3}^\gamma)$. Note that $h_3^\alpha = h_3^\alpha(H_{\uparrow}, H_{\curlyvee}, H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee})$ in (2.4) is defined through the last identity in (2.3).

We now recall that Section 2 of [13] briefly summarizes definitions and known results on Besov spaces, Bony's paraproducts $u \varobar v$, $u \odot v$ of u and v, mollifier estimates, Schauder estimates, commutator estimates and others; see [8], [9] for details. To define $h_{\geq 3}^\alpha$, we need to introduce four more objects as driving terms:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee\curlyvee}^{\beta\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^\beta \partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^\gamma, & H_{\curlyvee\wr}^{\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta \odot \partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^\gamma, \\ H_{<}^\alpha &= \text{“stationary solution of } \mathcal{L}H_{<}^\alpha = \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^\alpha\text{”}, & H_{<\cdot}^{\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x H_{<}^\beta \odot \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, to solve the equation (2.4), we divide $h_{\geq 3}^\alpha$ into the sum of two parts f^α and g^α: $h_{\geq 3}^\alpha = f^\alpha + g^\alpha$, which solve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha (\partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta + \partial_x f^\beta + \partial_x g^\beta) \varobar \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^\gamma, \\ \mathcal{L}g^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha (\partial_x H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^\beta + \partial_x f^\beta + \partial_x g^\beta)(\odot + \varobar) \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^\gamma + \text{other terms}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

respectively. Here, the implicitly written “other terms” contain nonlinear operators of sufficiently regular functions, so that they are well-defined if we can define $H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee\curlyvee}^{\beta\gamma}, H_{\curlyvee\wr}^{\beta\gamma} \in$

$\mathcal{C}^{0-}$. To define the term $\partial_x f^\beta \odot \partial_x H^\gamma_{\curlywedge}$ in the right hand side of $\mathcal{L}g^\alpha$, we first introduce $H^\alpha_{\curlyvee}$ as a solution of $\mathcal{L}H^\alpha_{\curlyvee} = \partial_x H^\alpha_{\curlywedge}$. Then by definition, f^α has the form

$$f^\alpha = P_\cdot f^\alpha(0) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x H^\beta_{\curlyvee\curlywedge} + \partial_x h^\beta_{\geq 3}) \varcirc (H^\gamma_{\curlyvee} - P_\cdot H^\gamma_{\curlyvee}(0)) + C_1(H_{\curlyvee}, h_{\geq 3}, H_{\curlywedge}),$$

with a nice term $C_1(H_{\curlyvee}, h_{\geq 3}, H_{\curlywedge})$, see Lemma 3.1 of [13]. Here $P \equiv P_t$ is the heat semigroup defined by $P_t u = \int_{\mathbb{T}} p(t, \cdot - y)u(y)dy$. By the commutator estimate, e.g., see Lemma 2.4 of [8] or Proposition 2.12 of [13], the term $\partial_x f^\beta \odot \partial_x H^\gamma_{\curlywedge}$ is defined if $H^{\beta\gamma}_{\curlyvee\cdot} = \partial_x H^\beta_{\curlyvee} \odot \partial_x H^\gamma_{\curlywedge} \in \mathcal{C}^{0-}$ is given a priori.

2.2 Drivers

Fix $\kappa \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$. The driver of the coupled KPZ equation is the element $\mathbb{H}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{H} := (H_{\curlywedge}, H_{\curlywedge\curlywedge}, H_{\curlywedge\curlyvee}, H_{\curlyvee\curlywedge\curlywedge}, H_{\curlywedge\curlywedge\curlywedge}, H_{\curlyvee}, H_{\curlyvee\cdot}) \\
&\in C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^\kappa)^d) \times C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{2\kappa})^d) \times \{C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1})^d) \cap C^{1/4}([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1/2})^d)\} \\
&\times C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{2\kappa-1})^{d^2}) \times C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{2\kappa-1})^{d^2}) \times C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1})^d) \times C([0,T], (\mathcal{C}^{2\kappa-1})^{d^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $\mathcal{L}H_{\curlyvee} = \partial_x H_{\curlywedge}$. Note that, for $H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}$ and others, the Besov space is $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$-valued. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^\kappa_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$ the class of all drivers. We write $|\!|\!|\mathbb{H}|\!|\!|_T$ for the product norm of $\mathbb{H}$ on the above space. Due to the preliminary and heuristic consideration, these terms should be defined a priori in some sense.

2.3 Deterministic result

Fix $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, \kappa)$ and $\mu \in (-\lambda, \lambda]$. For a $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^d)$-valued functions $f = (f^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d$ and $g = (g^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d$ on $[0,T]$, we write $(f,g) \in \mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}([0,T])$ if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(f,g)\|_{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}([0,T])} := \\
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} t^{\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} \|f(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{\lambda+1})^d} + \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|f(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d} + \sup_{s<t\in[0,T]} s^{\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} \frac{\|f(t)-f(s)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{\lambda+1/2})^d}}{|t-s|^{1/4}} \\
&+ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} t^{\lambda-\mu} \|g(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{2\lambda+1})^d} + \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|g(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d} + \sup_{s<t\in[0,T]} s^{\lambda-\mu} \frac{\|g(t)-g(s)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{2\lambda+1/2})^d}}{|t-s|^{1/4}}
\end{aligned}$$

is finite.

The following theorem is due to the paracontrolled calculus and fixed point theorem. For the detailed proof, see Section 3 of [13].

Theorem 2.1 (Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 of [13])**.** (1) *Let $T > 0$ and $\mathbb{H} \in \mathcal{H}^\kappa_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$ be given. Then, for every initial value $(f(0), g(0)) \in (\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d \times (\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d$ the system (2.5) admits a unique solution in $\mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}([0,T_*])$ up to the time*

$$T_* = C(1 + \|f(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d} + \|g(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d} + |\!|\!|\mathbb{H}|\!|\!|_T^3)^{-\frac{2}{\kappa-\lambda}} \wedge T,$$

where C is a universal constant depending only on κ, λ, μ and T. The solution satisfies

$$\|(f,g)\|_{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}([0,T_*])} \le C'(1+\|f(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d}+\|g(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d}+|\!|\!|\mathbb{H}|\!|\!|_T^3),$$

with a universal constant C'.
(2) Let $T_{\mathrm{sur}} \le T$ be the maximal time such that the existence and uniqueness of the solution hold on $[0,T_{\mathrm{sur}})$. The map $(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H}) \mapsto T_{\mathrm{sur}}$ is lower semi-continuous. If $T_{\mathrm{sur}} < T$, then

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T_{\mathrm{sur}}} \|h\|_{C([0,t],(\mathcal{C}^{\kappa\wedge(\mu+1)\wedge(2\mu+1)})^d)} = \infty,$$

where $h = H_{\uparrow}+H_{\curlyvee}+H_{\curlyvee\!\!\uparrow}+f+g$. The map S_{KPZ} from $(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H}) \in (\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d \times (\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d \times \mathcal{H}^{\kappa}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$ to the maximal solution $h \in C([0,T_{\mathrm{sur}}),(\mathcal{C}^{\kappa\wedge(\mu+1)\wedge(2\mu+1)})^d)$ is continuous.

We denote by $h = H_{\uparrow}+H_{\curlyvee}+H_{\curlyvee\!\!\uparrow}+f+g \equiv S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H})$ the maximal solution.

2.4 Renormalization

By replacing ξ^β by $\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta} = \xi^\beta * \eta^\varepsilon$ in (1.1) and introducing the renormalization factors $-c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}$, $C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ and $D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$, we have the following identities for the formal expansion $h^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k h_k^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ of the solution of the approximating equation (1.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}h_0^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^{\varepsilon,\beta},\\
\mathcal{L}h_1^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x h_0^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x h_0^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}),\\
\mathcal{L}h_2^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x h_1^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x h_0^{\varepsilon,\gamma},\\
\mathcal{L}h_3^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x h_1^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x h_1^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x h_2^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x h_0^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the renormalized driver $\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon$ corresponding to ξ^ε, which is defined by the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \sigma^\alpha_\beta \partial_x \xi^{\varepsilon,\beta},\\
\mathcal{L}H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}),\\
\mathcal{L}H_{\curlyvee\!\!\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\gamma},\\
\mathcal{L}H_{\prec}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &= \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

with stationary initial values, and products

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\curlyvee\!\!\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}),\\
H_{\curlyvee\!\!\prec}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma},\\
H_{\prec\!\!\prec}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x H_{\prec}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\uparrow}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we see that $h^\varepsilon := S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon)$ solves (1.3) with

$$B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} + 2D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}.$$

Theorem 2.1 combined with the convergence of drivers $\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon$ to $\mathbb{H}$ shown in Theorem 3.2 below proves Theorem 1.1-(1).

We do similar arguments for the equation with $*\eta_2^\varepsilon = \varphi^2(\varepsilon D)$ for the nonlinear term:

$$\partial_t \tilde{h}^\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \tilde{h}^\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{h}^\beta \partial_x \tilde{h}^\gamma) + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta. \tag{2.7}$$

Then for the formal expansion $\tilde{h}^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k \tilde{h}^\alpha_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\tilde{h}^\alpha_0 &= \sigma^\alpha_\beta \xi^\beta,\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{h}^\alpha_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{h}^\beta_0 \partial_x \tilde{h}^\gamma_0),\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{h}^\alpha_2 &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{h}^\beta_1 \partial_x \tilde{h}^\gamma_0),\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{h}^\alpha_3 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{h}^\beta_1 \partial_x \tilde{h}^\gamma_1) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{h}^\beta_2 \partial_x \tilde{h}^\gamma_0).
\end{aligned}$$

We can construct a solution map $h = S^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0), g(0), \mathbb{H})$ corresponding to the mollified equation (2.7), though the driver $\mathbb{H}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}H_{\swarrow} = \partial_x \varphi^2(\varepsilon D) H_{\uparrow}$. See Section 4 of [13] for the scalar-valued case. Furthermore, we have the following convergence result.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 4.4 of [13]). *If $(f^\varepsilon(0), g^\varepsilon(0)) \to (f(0), g(0))$ in $(\mathcal{C}^{\mu+1})^d \times (\mathcal{C}^{2\mu+1})^d$ and $\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon \to \mathbb{H}$ in $\mathcal{H}^\kappa_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$, then we have $S^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f^\varepsilon(0), g^\varepsilon(0), \mathbb{H}^\varepsilon) \to S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0), g(0), \mathbb{H})$ in $C([0, T_{\mathrm{sur}}), (\mathcal{C}^{\kappa\wedge(\mu+1)\wedge(2\mu+1)})^d)$.*

By replacing ξ^β by $\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta}$ in (2.7) and introducing the renormalization factors $-c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}, \tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}, \tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$, we again obtain the renormalized driver $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon$ corresponding to the approximating equation (1.4), which is defined by the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\uparrow} &= \sigma^\alpha_\beta \partial_x \xi^{\varepsilon,\beta},\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\Upsilon} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta}_{\uparrow} \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}_{\uparrow} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}),\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\Upsilon\!\!\Upsilon} &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^2(\varepsilon D)(\partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta}_{\Upsilon} \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}_{\uparrow}),\\
\mathcal{L}\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\swarrow} &= \partial_x \varphi^2(\varepsilon D)\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\uparrow}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

with stationary initial values, and products

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}_{\diamondsuit} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta}_{\Upsilon} \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}_{\Upsilon} - \tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}),\\
\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}_{\Upsilon\!\!\Upsilon\!\!\Upsilon} &= \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta}_{\Upsilon\!\!\Upsilon} \odot \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}_{\uparrow} - \tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma},\\
\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}_{\swarrow\!\!\swarrow} &= \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\beta}_{\swarrow} \odot \partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}_{\uparrow}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we see that $\tilde{h}^\varepsilon := S^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0), g(0), \tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon)$ solves (1.4) with

$$\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = \tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} + 2\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}.$$

Theorem 2.2 combined with the convergence of drivers $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon$ to $\mathbb{H}$ shown in Theorem 3.2 proves Theorem 1.1-(2).

3 Computation of renormalization factors

3.1 Product formula

We first prepare the product formula to compute the Wiener chaos expansions of the products of two multiple Wiener-Itô integrals.

Let $\{\xi^{\beta,k}(s)\}_{\beta\in\{1,\dots,d\},k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be complex-valued Gaussian white noises on $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfy $\overline{\xi^{\beta,k}(s)} = \xi^{\beta,-k}(s)$ and have the covariance structure (1.13), which are realized on a probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega,P)$ can be decomposed into the direct sum $\oplus_{\mathbf{n}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\mathbf{n} = (n_{\beta,k}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\{1,\dots,d\}\times\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $|\mathbf{n}| := \sum n_{\beta,k} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. For $f\Big(\big((s_i^{\beta,k})_{i=1}^{n_{\beta,k}}\big)_{\beta,k}\Big) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{n}|}, ds_{\mathbf{n}})$ with $ds_{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{\beta,k}\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\beta,k}} ds_i^{\beta,k}$, we define multiple Wiener-Itô integrals

$$I_{\mathbf{n}}(f) = \int f\Big(\big((s_i^{\beta,k})_{i=1}^{n_{\beta,k}}\big)_{\beta,k}\Big)\prod_{\beta,k}\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\beta,k}}\xi^{\beta,k}(ds_i^{\beta,k}).$$

Note that we don't divide by $\mathbf{n}! = \prod_{\beta,k} n_{\beta,k}!$ in the definition of $I_{\mathbf{n}}(f)$ compared with [14].

For given $\mathbf{n} = (n_{\beta,k})$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_{\beta,k})$, a diagram $\lambda \subset \coprod_{\beta,k}\{1,\dots,n_{\beta,k}\}\times\coprod_{\beta,k}\{1,\dots,m_{\beta,k}\}$ consists of disjoint pairs $(i_{\beta,k}, j_{\beta,-k})$ connecting $i_{\beta,k} \in \{1,\dots,n_{\beta,k}\}$ and $j_{\beta,-k} \in \{1,\dots,m_{\beta,-k}\}$. Note that β are common and k have opposite signs in these pairs. The set of all possible diagrams $\{\lambda\}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m})$. For $\lambda \in \Gamma(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m})$, we denote $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_{\beta,k})$, where $\bar{\lambda}_{\beta,k} = \sharp\{i_{\beta,k} \in \lambda\} + \sharp\{j_{\beta,k} \in \lambda\}$ for each β, k. Then $\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}-\bar{\lambda}$ is defined componentwisely by $(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}-\bar{\lambda})_{\beta,k} = n_{\beta,k}+m_{\beta,k}-\bar{\lambda}_{\beta,k}$. For $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$, we define $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}-\bar{\lambda}}$ by

$$f_\lambda(s_{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup s_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus s_\lambda) = \int f_1(s_{\mathbf{n}})f_2(\check{s}_{\mathbf{m}})\prod_{i_{\beta,k}\in\lambda} ds_{i_{\beta,k}}^{\beta,k},$$

where $s_{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup s_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus s_\lambda = \big((s_{i_{\beta,k}}^{\beta,k})_{i_{\beta,k}\notin\lambda} \sqcup (s_{j_{\beta,k}}^{\beta,k})_{j_{\beta,k}\notin\lambda}\big)_{\beta,k}$, and $\check{s}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is defined by $s_{\mathbf{m}}$ with $s_{j_{\beta,-k}}$ replaced by $s_{i_{\beta,k}}$ when the pair $(i_{\beta,k}, j_{\beta,-k})$ appears in λ. Then we have the following product formula; see Theorem 5.3 of [14] with $m = 2$ shown in a slightly different setting from ours.

Proposition 3.1. *For $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$, we have*

$$I_{\mathbf{n}}(f_1)I_{\mathbf{m}}(f_2) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Gamma(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m})} I_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}-\bar{\lambda}}(f_\lambda).$$

3.2 Definition of driving processes

Here we precisely define the components of $\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon$. Now we write $\mathcal{H}_n = \oplus_{|\mathbf{n}|=n} I_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}})$.

We define $I(\zeta) \equiv I(\zeta)(t,x)$ for a noise $\zeta = \{\zeta(s,y); s \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}\}$ by

$$I(\zeta)(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^t\int_{\mathbb{T}} p(t-s,x,y)\Pi_0^\perp\zeta(s,y)dsdy + \int_0^t \Pi_0\zeta(s)ds.$$

Here Π_0 is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto the set of constant functions, and $\Pi_0^\perp = 1-\Pi_0$. $I(\zeta)$ is a solution of $\mathcal{L}I(\zeta) = \zeta$ with stationary initial value for non-zero

Fourier modes but zero initial value for the zero mode. Note that $\partial_x I(\zeta)$ is a stationary process since

$$\partial_x I(\zeta)(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_x p(t-s,x,y)\zeta(s,y)dsdy.$$

By taking the smeared noise $\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta}$, which is extended for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \tilde{H}_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} := \sigma_\beta^\alpha I(\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta}) \in \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that this solves the first equations of (2.6) and (2.8). This converges to the process $H_{\upharpoonright}^{\alpha} = \sigma_\beta^\alpha I(\xi^\beta)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha I(\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \varphi^2(\varepsilon D) I(\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

These solve the second equations of (2.6) and (2.8), respectively. Note that $\partial_x I(\xi^{\varepsilon,\beta})$ is stationary in t, so that the $\mathcal{H}_0$-components of $H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ are compensated by $c^\varepsilon A^{\beta\gamma}$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\curlyvee\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha I(\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1, \\ \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \varphi^2(\varepsilon D) I(\partial_x \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

These solve the third equations of (2.6) and (2.8), respectively. We can also define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_4 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_x \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta}\partial_x \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - \tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}_4 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2, \\ H_{\curlywedge}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x H_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_4 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \tilde{H}_{\curlywedge}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x \tilde{H}_{\curlyvee}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} - \tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_4 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

by subtracting the corresponding $\mathcal{H}_0$-components.

We further define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{<\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= I(\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{H}_1, \\ \tilde{H}_{<\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} &:= \varphi^2(\varepsilon D) I(\partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{H}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\curlywedge\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &:= \partial_x H_{<\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \tilde{H}_{\curlywedge\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} &:= \partial_x \tilde{H}_{<\bullet}^{\varepsilon,\beta} \odot \partial_x H_{\upharpoonright}^{\varepsilon,\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the $\mathcal{H}_0$-components vanish because the function $\varphi = \mathcal{F}\eta$ is even.

The following result is shown in a similar way to Theorem 5.1 of [13].

Theorem 3.2. *There exists an $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{KPZ}}^\kappa$-valued random variable $\mathbb{H}$ such that, for every $T > 0$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$E|||\mathbb{H}|||_T^p < \infty, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} E|||\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon - \mathbb{H}|||_T^p = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} E|||\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon - \mathbb{H}|||_T^p = 0.$$

In particular, both $h^\varepsilon = S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H}^\varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{h}^\varepsilon = S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}^\varepsilon(f(0),g(0),\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^\varepsilon)$ converge to $h = S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0),g(0),\mathbb{H})$ in probability as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in $C([0,T_{\mathrm{sur}}),(\mathcal{C}^{\kappa\wedge(\mu+1)\wedge(2\mu+1)})^d)$.

3.3 Derivation of $c^\varepsilon A$

In Sections 3.3-3.5, we compute the precise values of renormalization factors. First we consider the $\mathcal{H}_0$-component of the product $\partial_x H_\uparrow \partial_x H_\uparrow$.

Recall that $H^\varepsilon_\uparrow = \tilde{H}^\varepsilon_\uparrow$ is given by (3.1). Since $p(t,x,y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 t})(x-y)$, we have

$$\Pi_0^\perp H^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_\uparrow(t,x) = \sigma^\alpha_\beta \sum_{k\neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{2\pi i k x} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 (t-s)} \varphi(\varepsilon k) \xi^{\beta,k}(ds).$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_\uparrow(t,x) = \sum_{k\neq 0} \int \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) \xi^{\beta,k}(ds) \in \mathcal{H}_1, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) = \sigma^\alpha_\beta e^{2\pi i k x} \varphi(\varepsilon k)(2\pi i k) 1_{\{t\geq s\}} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 (t-s)}. \tag{3.8}$$

By Proposition 3.1, the $\mathcal{H}_2$-component of $(\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_\uparrow \partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_\uparrow)(t,x)$ is given by Wiener-Itô integral with the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2}_\vee(t,x)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2) \\ &:= \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta_1,k_1}(s_1) \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta_2,k_2}(s_2) \\ &= \sigma^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}\sigma^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2} e^{2\pi i (k_1+k_2)x} \varphi(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi(\varepsilon k_2) \\ &\quad \times (2\pi i k_1) 1_{\{t\geq s_1\}} e^{-2\pi^2 k_1^2 (t-s_1)} (2\pi i k_2) 1_{\{t\geq s_2\}} e^{-2\pi^2 k_2^2 (t-s_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

while its $\mathcal{H}_0$-component is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_\uparrow(t,x)_{\beta,-k}(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^d \sigma^{\alpha_1}_\beta \sigma^{\alpha_2}_\beta \sum_{k\neq 0} \varphi^2(\varepsilon k) \int (2\pi i k)(-2\pi i k) \big(1_{\{t\geq s\}} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 (t-s)}\big)^2 ds \\ &= A^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \sum_{k\neq 0} \varphi^2(\varepsilon k) \int_0^\infty 4\pi^2 k^2 e^{-4\pi^2 k^2 s} ds \\ &= A^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \sum_{k\neq 0} \varphi^2(\varepsilon k) \equiv c^\varepsilon A^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

note that $\varphi(\varepsilon k) = \varphi(-\varepsilon k)$.

3.4 Derivation of C^ε

Recall that $H^\varepsilon_\curlyvee$ and $\tilde{H}^\varepsilon_\curlyvee$ are given by (3.2). Now we introduce the kernels

$$H_t(k) := 1_{\{k\neq 0, t>0\}} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 t}, \quad h_t(k) := (2\pi i k) H_t(k).$$

Then for the function $F(t,x) = e^{2\pi ikx} f(t)$, we have the formula

$$\partial_x I(F) = e^{2\pi ikx} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{t-u}(k) f(u) du.$$

The following convolution formula is useful.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{t-u}(k) h_{s-u}(-k) du = H_{|t-s|}(k).$$

Proof. Since the integral is over $u < t \wedge s$, the left hand side is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\pi ik)(-2\pi ik) \int_{-\infty}^{t\wedge s} e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 \{(t-u)+(s-u)\}} du \\
&= e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 (t+s-2u)} \big|_{u=-\infty}^{t\wedge s} = e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 |t-s|} = H_{|t-s|}(k).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $t + s - 2(t \wedge s) = |t - s|$. □

The kernels of $\partial_x H_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}, \partial_x \tilde{H}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}_2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t,x)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \partial_x I(\mathcal{K}_{\vee}^{\varepsilon,\gamma_1\gamma_2}(\cdot,\cdot)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2))(t,x) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} E^{\alpha}_{\beta_1\beta_2} e^{2\pi i(k_1+k_2)x} \varphi(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi(\varepsilon k_2) \int h_{t-u}(k_1+k_2) h_{u-s_1}(k_1) h_{u-s_2}(k_2) du,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t,x)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} E^{\alpha}_{\beta_1\beta_2} e^{2\pi i(k_1+k_2)x} \varphi(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi(\varepsilon k_2)\varphi^2(\varepsilon(k_1+k_2)) \int h_{t-u}(k_1+k_2) h_{u-s_1}(k_1) h_{u-s_2}(k_2) du,
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Here $E^{\alpha}_{\beta_1\beta_2} := \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \sigma^{\gamma_1}_{\beta_1} \sigma^{\gamma_2}_{\beta_2}$.

Now we compute two expectations

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= E[(\partial_x H_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1} \partial_x H_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2})(t,x)], \\
\tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= E[(\partial_x \tilde{H}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1} \partial_x \tilde{H}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2})(t,x)].
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&C^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} \\
&= 2 \sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2} \sum_{k_1,k_2} \iint \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(t,x)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2) \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(t,x)_{(\beta_1,-k_1),(\beta_2,-k_2)}(s_1,s_2) ds_1 ds_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{C}^{\varepsilon}$ is obtained by replacing $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}$. The factor 2 comes from the symmetry of the kernels.

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = \frac{F^{\beta\gamma}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{k_1,k_2}{}^{*} \frac{\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2)}{k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2},$$
$$\tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = \frac{F^{\beta\gamma}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{k_1,k_2}{}^{*} \frac{\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2)\varphi^4(\varepsilon(k_1+k_2))}{k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2},$$

where

$$F^{\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} E^{\beta}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} E^{\gamma}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}.$$

Here $\sum^*$ *means the sum over* k_1, k_2 *such that* $k_1, k_2, k_1+k_2 \neq 0$.

Remark 3.1. *These coincide with* $c^{\varepsilon,\heartsuit\heartsuit}$ *and* $\tilde{c}^{\varepsilon,\heartsuit\heartsuit}$ *in [13] with* $E^{\beta}_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} = 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&C^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta_1,k_1,\beta_2,k_2} E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1\beta_2} E^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2} \varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2) \iiiint h_{t-u_1}(k_1+k_2) h_{u_1-s_1}(k_1) h_{u_1-s_2}(k_2) \\
&\quad \times h_{t-u_2}(-k_1-k_2) h_{u_2-s_1}(-k_1) h_{u_2-s_2}(-k_2) du_1 du_2 ds_1 ds_2 \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} F^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \sum_{k_1,k_2\neq 0} \varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2) \\
&\quad \times 4\pi^2(k_1+k_2) \iint H_{t-u_1}(k_1+k_2) H_{t-u_2}(k_1+k_2) H_{|u_1-u_2|}(k_1) H_{|u_1-u_2|}(k_2) du_1 du_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the dependence in x cancels. Changing the variables as $t-u_1 = r_1, t-u_2 = r_2$, the integral can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty dr_1 \int_0^\infty dr_2 \; e^{-2\pi^2(k_1+k_2)^2(r_1+r_2)} e^{-2\pi^2(k_1^2+k_2^2)|r_1-r_2|} \\
&= \int_0^\infty dr_2 \int_0^{r_2} dr_1 \; e^{-2\pi^2(k_1+k_2)^2(r_1+r_2)} e^{-2\pi^2(k_1^2+k_2^2)(r_2-r_1)} \\
&\quad + (\text{a similar term with } k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since $k_1, k_2 \neq 0$, the first integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}r_2} dr_2 \int_0^{r_2} e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2-(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}r_1} dr_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2-(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}r_2} \big(1 - e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2-(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}r_2}\big) dr_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \int_0^\infty \big(e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}r_2} - e^{-4\pi^2(k_1+k_2)^2 r_2}\big) dr_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2(k_1+k_2)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{(k_1+k_2)^2-(k_1^2+k_2^2)}{2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+(k_1^2+k_2^2)\}(k_1+k_2)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1 k_2}\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\frac{2k_1k_2}{4(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)(k_1+k_2)^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi^4(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)(k_1+k_2)^2}.$$

By the symmetry under $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$, (3.11) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^4(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)(k_1+k_2)^2}.$$

One can compute $\tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ similarly by noting that the kernel $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}$ has an extra factor $\varphi^2(\varepsilon(k_1+k_2))$ compared with $\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}$. This leads to the conclusion. □

3.5 Derivation of D^ε

For the $\mathcal{H}_1$-component of $(\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\gamma}\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow})(t,x) \in \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$, by Proposition 3.1, the kernel is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\diamondsuit}(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) = 2\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d}\sum_{k'\neq 0}\int \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\gamma}(t,x)_{(\beta,k),(\beta',k')}(s,s')\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow}(t,x)_{\beta',-k'}(s')ds' \\
&= e^{2\pi ikx}\varphi(\varepsilon k)\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d} E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\alpha_2}_{\beta'}\sum_{k'\neq 0}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\iint h_{t-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)h_{u-s'}(k')h_{t-s'}(-k')duds' \\
&= e^{2\pi ikx}\varphi(\varepsilon k)\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d} E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\alpha_2}_{\beta'}\sum_{k'\neq 0}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\int h_{t-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)H_{t-u}(k')du.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that 2 in the first line comes from the symmetry of $\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\gamma}(t,x)_{(\beta_1,k_1),(\beta_2,k_2)}(s_1,s_2)$ in (β_1,k_1,s_1) and (β_2,k_2,s_2). We use Lemma 3.3 to have the last equality. Similarly, the $\mathcal{H}_1$-component of $(\partial_x\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\gamma}\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow})(t,x)$ is given by the kernel

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\diamondsuit}(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) \\
&= e^{2\pi ikx}\varphi(\varepsilon k)\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d} E^{\alpha_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\alpha_2}_{\beta'}\sum_{k'\neq 0}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\varphi^2(\varepsilon(k+k'))\int h_{t-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)H_{t-u}(k')du.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that H^{ε}_{γ} and $\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}$ are given by (3.3). For the $\mathcal{H}_1$-component of $\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\gamma}, \partial_x\tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\gamma}$, the kernels are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\diamondsuit}(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\partial_x I(\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\gamma_1\gamma_2}_{\diamondsuit}(\cdot,\cdot)_{\beta,k}(s))(t,x) \\
&= e^{2\pi ikx}\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}E^{\gamma_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\gamma_2}_{\beta'}\varphi(\varepsilon k)\sum_{k'\neq 0}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\iint h_{t-v}(k)h_{v-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)H_{v-u}(k')dudv,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\gamma}(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s) = e^{2\pi ikx}\sum_{\beta'=1}^{d}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}E^{\gamma_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\gamma_2}_{\beta'}\varphi^3(\varepsilon k)\sum_{k'\neq 0}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\varphi^2(\varepsilon(k+k'))$$

$$\times \iint h_{t-v}(k)h_{v-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)H_{v-u}(k')dudv.$$

Now we start to compute the expectations

$$D^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} = E[(\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\Yup}\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow})(t,x)],$$
$$\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} = E[(\partial_x \tilde{H}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\Yup}\partial_x H^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow})(t,x)].$$

By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$D^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{d}\sum_{k\neq 0}\int \mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}_{\Yup}(t,x)_{\beta,k}(s)\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}_{\uparrow}(t,x)_{\beta,-k}(s)ds,$$

and $\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon}$ is obtained by replacing $\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}_{\Yup}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\varepsilon}_{\Yup}$.

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = -\frac{G^{\beta\gamma}}{4\pi^2}\sum_{k_1,k_2}^{*}\frac{(k_1+k_2)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2)}{k_1(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)},$$
$$\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = -\frac{G^{\beta\gamma}}{4\pi^2}\sum_{k_1,k_2}^{*}\frac{(k_1+k_2)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2)\varphi^4(\varepsilon(k_1+k_2))}{k_1(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)},$$

where

$$G^{\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}\sigma^{\beta}_{\gamma_1}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\beta'\gamma'}\sigma^{\beta'}_{\gamma_2}E^{\gamma'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}.$$

Remark 3.2. *These coincide with* $c^{\varepsilon,\Yup}$ *and* $\tilde{c}^{\varepsilon,\Yup}$ *in [13] with* $G^{\beta\gamma}=1$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= \sum_{\beta,\beta'}\sum_{k,k'\neq 0}\Gamma^{\alpha_1}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}E^{\gamma_1}_{\beta\beta'}\sigma^{\gamma_2}_{\beta'}\sigma^{\alpha_2}_{\beta}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k')\\
&\quad\times\iiint h_{t-v}(k)h_{v-u}(k+k')h_{u-s}(k)H_{v-u}(k')h_{t-s}(-k)dudvds\\
&= \sum_{k_1,k_2\neq 0}G^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_1)\varphi^2(\varepsilon k_2)(2\pi i k_1)\{2\pi i(k_1+k_2)\}\\
&\quad\times\int_{-\infty}^{t}du\int_{u}^{t}dv\ H_{t-v}(k_1)H_{v-u}(k_1+k_2)H_{v-u}(k_2)H_{t-u}(k_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the variables as $t-u=u', t-v=v'$, the integral is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\infty}du'\int_0^{u'}dv'\ e^{-2\pi^2k_1^2v'}e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2\}(u'-v')}e^{-2\pi^2k_1^2u'}\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2-k_1^2\}}\int_0^{\infty}du'\ e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_1^2+k_2^2\}u'}\big(e^{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2-k_1^2\}u'}-1\big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2-k_1^2\}} \int_0^\infty \big(e^{-4\pi^2 k_1^2 u'} - e^{-2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_1^2+k_2^2\}u'}\big) du' \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2-k_1^2\}} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1^2} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_1^2+k_2^2\}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_2^2-k_1^2\}} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{(k_1+k_2)^2-k_1^2+k_2^2}{2k_1^2\{(k_1+k_2)^2+k_1^2+k_2^2\}} \\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^4 k_1^2(k_1^2+k_1k_2+k_2^2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The computation of $\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}$ is similar with two extra factors $\varphi^2(\varepsilon(k_1+k_2))$. This leads to the conclusion. □

4 Renormalization factors under the trilinear condition (1.7)

Let $h^\varepsilon_{B=0}$ and $\tilde{h}^\varepsilon_{\tilde{B}=0}$ be the solutions of two KPZ approximating equations (1.3) and (1.4) with $B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}, \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = 0$, which are actually the shifts

$$
h^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{B=0} = h^{\varepsilon,\alpha} + \tfrac{t}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}, \quad \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\tilde{B}=0} = \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} + \tfrac{t}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}
$$

of the solutions h^ε and $\tilde{h}^\varepsilon$ of (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. We have already computed $B^\varepsilon = C^\varepsilon + 2D^\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{B}^\varepsilon = \tilde{C}^\varepsilon + 2\tilde{D}^\varepsilon$ in Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 with four renormalization factors given by

$$
C^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = F^{\beta\gamma} C^\varepsilon, \quad D^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = G^{\beta\gamma} D^\varepsilon, \quad \tilde{C}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = F^{\beta\gamma} \tilde{C}^\varepsilon, \quad \tilde{D}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} = G^{\beta\gamma} \tilde{D}^\varepsilon,
$$

where $C^\varepsilon, \tilde{C}^\varepsilon, D^\varepsilon, \tilde{D}^\varepsilon$ depend only on φ and ε, and diverges as $O(-\log\varepsilon)$, while F and G are matrices determined from σ and Γ. In this way, the renormalization factors are completely factorized into the products of two terms, one determined from the scalar-valued KPZ equation as is pointed out in Remarks 3.1 and 3.2 and the other from σ and Γ.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The constants $G^{\beta\gamma}$ and $F^{\beta\gamma}$ are rewritten as

$$
G^{\beta\gamma} = \sigma^\beta_{\alpha'} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \hat{\Gamma}^{\gamma_1}_{\alpha'\gamma_2} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \quad F^{\beta\gamma} = \sigma^\beta_{\alpha'} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2},
$$

respectively. Indeed, noting $E^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \sigma^\alpha_{\alpha'} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta\gamma}$, these are easily seen from

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\beta\gamma} &= \sigma^\beta_{\gamma_1} \Gamma^\gamma_{\beta'\gamma'} \sigma^{\beta'}_{\gamma_2} E^{\gamma'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \sigma^\beta_{\gamma_1} \Gamma^\gamma_{\beta'\gamma'} \sigma^{\beta'}_{\gamma_2} \sigma^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \sigma^\beta_{\gamma_1} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_2\alpha'} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \\
F^{\beta\gamma} &= E^\beta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} E^\gamma_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \sigma^\beta_{\alpha'} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The sums $\sum$ are sometimes omitted. Hence if we assume the trilinear condition (1.7), we have

$$
F^{\beta\gamma} = G^{\beta\gamma} = \sigma^\beta_{\alpha'} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}.
$$

Conversely,

$$
G^{\beta\gamma} - F^{\beta\gamma} \equiv \sigma^\beta_{\alpha'} \sigma^\gamma_{\alpha''} \big(\hat{\Gamma}^{\gamma_1}_{\alpha'\gamma_2} - \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\big) \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = 0
$$

is equivalent to $\hat{\Gamma}^{\gamma_1}_{\alpha'\gamma_2} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, if the symmetric matrix $(\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2})_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}$ in (γ_1,γ_2) is invertible for every α. Note that the invertibility of $(\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2})_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}$ for every α is equivalent to that of $(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2})_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}$ for every α.

An explicit computation for the scalar-valued case made in [13] shows

$$\tilde{C}^{\varepsilon} + 2\tilde{D}^{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad C^{\varepsilon} + 2D^{\varepsilon} = -\tfrac{1}{12} + O(\varepsilon),$$

and this implies that B^{ε} and $\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon}$ behave as $O(1)$ if and only if the condition (1.7) holds.

When (1.7) holds, the difference

$$\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{\tilde{B}=0} - h^{\varepsilon,\alpha}_{B=0} = \big(\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} - h^{\varepsilon,\alpha}\big) + \tfrac{t}{2}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}\big(\tilde{B}^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma} - B^{\varepsilon,\beta\gamma}\big),$$

converges because $\big(\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} - h^{\varepsilon,\alpha}\big) \to 0$ by Theorem 1.1-(2) and $B^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon} = O(1)$. Furthermore, in the limit, we have

$$\tilde{h}^{\alpha}_{\tilde{B}=0}(t,x) = h^{\alpha}_{B=0}(t,x) + c^{\alpha}t,$$

where

$$c^{\alpha} := \frac{1}{24}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}G^{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{24}\sum_{\gamma,\gamma'}\sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}\hat{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{\alpha'\alpha''}\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\gamma\gamma'}\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha''}_{\gamma\gamma'}.$$

□

5 Global existence for a.e.-initial values under the stationary measure

When $d = 1$, the global-in-time existence of the solution of the KPZ equation was obtained by Gubinelli and Perkowski [9], using the Cole-Hopf transform. In the multi-component case, however, such transform does not work in general, so that the global existence is non-trivial. In this section, by similar arguments to Da Prato and Debussche [2], we show the global existence for initial values sampled from the invariant measure of (1.1), under the trilinear condition (1.7).

Precisely, the process which has the invariant measure is the derivative $u = \partial_x h$, which solves the coupled stochastic Burgers equation

$$\partial_t u^{\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u^{\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u^{\beta}u^{\gamma}) + \sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}\partial_x\xi^{\beta}. \tag{5.1}$$

We can apply the paracontrolled calculus to (5.1) and construct a well-posed solution map similarly to the coupled KPZ equation. Indeed, these two schemes are equivalent. If h solves (1.1), then $u = \partial_x h$ solves (5.1). Conversely, the solution $\hat{h}$ of

$$\partial_t \hat{h}^{\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \hat{h}^{\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}u^{\beta}u^{\gamma} + \sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}\xi^{\beta}$$

coincides with the original h. Hence the global existence of u is equivalent to that of h. The equation (5.1) has the Gaussian invariant measure μ_A, under the condition (1.7). As we will see, this implies the global existence of u starting from a.e.-initial values under the invariant measure. We will justify the above arguments in this section.

From now we consider the space of zero mean functions denoted by

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_0 = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}\,;\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x)dx = 0\}.$$

5.1 Relation between KPZ equation and stochastic Burgers equation

Construction of the solution map for (5.1) is parallel to that for the coupled KPZ equation. As in Section 2.1, although we eventually take $a = 1$, considering the formal expansion $u^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k u_k^\alpha$ of the solution of

$$\mathcal{L}u^\alpha = \frac{a}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u^\beta u^\gamma) + \sigma^\alpha_\beta \partial_x \xi^\beta,$$

we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}u_0^\alpha &= \sigma^\alpha_\beta \partial_x \xi^\beta,\\
\mathcal{L}u_1^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u_0^\beta u_0^\gamma),\\
\mathcal{L}u_2^\alpha &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u_1^\beta u_0^\gamma),\\
\mathcal{L}u_3^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u_1^\beta u_1^\gamma) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u_2^\beta u_0^\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote u_0, u_1, u_2 with stationary initial values by $U_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet}$, respectively. To define $\mathcal{L}u_3$, we introduce

$$U^{\beta\gamma}_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\curlyvee} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee}U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee}), \quad U^{\beta\gamma}_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} = \partial_x(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} \odot U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}).$$

After defining these objects, (5.1) for $u = U_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow} + U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee} + U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + u_{\ge 3}$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}u^\alpha_{\ge 3} = \Psi^\alpha + \mathcal{L}u_3^\alpha,$$

where

$$\Psi^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(u^\beta_{\ge 3}U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + u^\beta_{\ge 3})U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + u^\beta_{\ge 3})(U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + u^\gamma_{\ge 3}).$$

The term $u^\beta_{\ge 3}U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}$ is still ill-posed. To make sense, we divide $u_{\ge 3} = v + w$, which solve

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}v^\alpha &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x\{(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + v^\beta + w^\beta) \circledcirc U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}\},\\
\mathcal{L}w^\alpha &= \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\partial_x\{(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + v^\beta + w^\beta)(\odot + \circledcirc)U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}\} + \text{other terms},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

respectively. The only remaining problem is to give the definition of $v^\beta \odot U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}$. Introducing $U^\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle<}$ as a stationary solution of $\mathcal{L}U^\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle<} = \partial_x U^\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}$, v^α has the form

$$v^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet} + v^\beta + w^\beta) \circledcirc U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle<} + \text{nice terms}.$$

Thus, if $U^{\beta\gamma}_{\scriptscriptstyle<\bullet} = U^\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle<} \odot U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}$ is given a priori, one can define the term $v^\beta \odot U^\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}$.

We summarize these arguments. Fix $\kappa \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$. The driver of the coupled stochastic Burgers equation (5.1) is the element $\mathbb{U}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{U} := (U_{\scriptscriptstyle\uparrow}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\curlyvee}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle\curlyvee\bullet}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle<}, U_{\scriptscriptstyle<\bullet})\\
&\in C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d) \times C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\kappa-1})^d) \times \{C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa})^d) \cap C^{1/4}([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1/2})^d)\}\\
&\times C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\kappa-2})^{d^2}) \times C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\kappa-2})^{d^2}) \times C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa})^d) \times C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}^{2\kappa-1})^{d^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $\mathcal{L}U_{\swarrow\bullet} = \partial_x U_{\uparrow}$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}^\kappa_{\mathrm{CSB}}$ the class of all drivers. We write $|\!|\!|\mathbb{U}|\!|\!|_T$ for the product norm on the above space. Comparing with $\mathcal{H}^\kappa_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&U_\circ = \partial_x H_\circ, \quad (\circ = \uparrow, \Upsilon, \overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}, \overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}\overset{\bullet}{}, \overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}\overset{\bullet}{}, \swarrow),\\
&U_{\overset{\bullet}{\swarrow}\overset{\bullet}{}} = H_{\overset{\bullet}{\swarrow}\overset{\bullet}{}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

Now we can prove a similar result to Theorem 2.1. Fix $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, \kappa)$ and $\mu \in (-\lambda, \lambda]$. For a $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^d)$-valued functions $v = (v^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d, w = (w^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d$ on $[0,T]$, we write $(v,w) \in \mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{CSB}}([0,T])$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(v,w)\|_{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{CSB}}([0,T])} :=\\
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} t^{\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^\lambda)^d} + \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^\mu)^d} + \sup_{s<t\in[0,T]} s^{\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} \frac{\|v(t)-v(s)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\lambda-1/2})^d}}{|t-s|^{1/4}}\\
&+ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} t^{\lambda-\mu} \|w(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\lambda})^d} + \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|w(t)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\mu})^d} + \sup_{s<t\in[0,T]} s^{\lambda-\mu} \frac{\|w(t)-w(s)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{2\lambda-1/2})^d}}{|t-s|^{1/4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite. For every initial value $(v(0), w(0)) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\mu)^d \times (\mathcal{C}_0^{2\mu})^d$, the system (5.2) admits a unique local solution $(v,w) \in \mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\mathrm{CSB}}$. Denote by $u = U_{\uparrow} + U_{\Upsilon} + U_{\overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}} + v + w \equiv S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$ the maximal solution up to the survival time.

From the constructions, we see that

$$
\partial_x S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0), g(0), \mathbb{H}) = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(\partial_x f(0), \partial_x g(0), \mathbb{U}),
$$

where $\mathbb{U}$ satisfies (5.3). The problem is to restore the solution map S_{KPZ} from S_{CSB}. Since the right hand sides of (2.5) depend only on the derivatives of f and g, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}f^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(U^\beta_{\overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}} + v^\beta + w^\beta) \circledcirc U^\gamma_{\uparrow},\\
&\mathcal{L}g^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(U^\beta_{\overset{\bullet}{\Upsilon}} + v^\beta + w^\beta)(\odot + \circledcirc) U^\gamma_{\uparrow} + \text{other terms}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.4}
$$

Conversely let f, g be the solutions of (5.4) with initial values $f(0), g(0)$. Then f, g should satisfy $\partial_x f = v$ and $\partial_x g = w$ by uniqueness of the solution of (5.2). Inserting these relations into (5.4), we see that f, g satisfy (2.5). Hence (f,g) is the solution of the original KPZ equation. In this way, S_{KPZ} can be recovered from S_{CSB}. To sum up, we have the following equivalence.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{U}$ are related by (5.3). Let $T^{\mathrm{KPZ}}_{\mathrm{sur}}$ and $T^{\mathrm{CSB}}_{\mathrm{sur}}$ be the survival times of the solutions of (2.5) and (5.2), respectively. Then we have $T^{\mathrm{KPZ}}_{\mathrm{sur}} = T^{\mathrm{CSB}}_{\mathrm{sur}}$ and*

$$
\partial_x S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}(f(0), g(0), \mathbb{H}) = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(\partial_x f(0), \partial_x g(0), \mathbb{U}).
$$

We constructed an $\mathcal{H}^\kappa_{\mathrm{KPZ}}$-valued random variable $\mathbb{H}$ from space-time white noise ξ, in Section 3. The relation (5.3) determines a $\mathcal{U}^\kappa_{\mathrm{CSB}}$-valued random variable $\mathbb{U}$. Note that renormalization factors vanish because we take the derivative ∂_x. In the following sections, we study the probabilistic properties of the solution $u = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$.

5.2 Gaussian stationary measure of the OU process

Let $\{u^{\alpha,k}\}_{\alpha\in\{1,\dots,d\},k\neq 0}$ be the family of centered complex Gaussian variables such that $u^{\alpha,-k}=\overline{u^{\alpha,k}}$ and has covariance

$$E[u^{\alpha,k}u^{\beta,l}]=A^{\alpha\beta}1_{\{k+l=0\}}.$$

Denote the image measure on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the map $u^\alpha(x)=\sum_k u^{\alpha,k}e^{2\pi ikx}$ by μ_A. Indeed, μ_A has a support on $(\mathcal{C}_0^{-1/2-})^d$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\kappa<\frac{1}{2}$. For μ_A-a.e. $u\in\mathcal{D}'$, we have $u\in(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $p\geq 1$. Computing $L^{2p}(\Omega,P)$-norm of $\|u^\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}^\zeta_{2p,2p}}$ as

$$E\|u^\alpha\|^{2p}_{\mathcal{B}^\zeta_{2p,2p}}=\sum_j 2^{\zeta j\cdot 2p}E\|\triangle_j u^\alpha\|^{2p}_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{T})}=\sum_j 2^{\zeta j\cdot 2p}\int_{\mathbb{T}}E|\triangle_j u^\alpha(x)|^{2p}dx,$$

where $\triangle_j=\rho(2^{-j}D)$ is a Littlewood-Paley projection (see Section 2.2 of [13]), from the hypercontractivity of Wiener chaos, we have

$$E|\triangle_j u^\alpha(x)|^{2p}\lesssim(E|\triangle_j u^\alpha(x)|^2)^p.$$

Since we have

$$E|\triangle_j u^\alpha(x)|^2=\sum_k\rho_j(k)^2E[u^{\alpha,k}u^{\alpha,-k}]=A^{\alpha\alpha}\sum_k\rho_j(k)^2\lesssim 2^j,$$

independently of x, for every $\zeta<-\frac{1}{2}$

$$E\|u^\alpha\|^{2p}_{\mathcal{B}^\zeta_{2p,2p}}\lesssim\sum_j 2^{(2\zeta+1)jp}<\infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}^\zeta_{2p,2p}\subset\mathcal{B}^{\zeta-1/(2p)}_{\infty,\infty}$ by Besov embedding, see Theorem 2.71 of [1] or Proposition 2.2 of [13], we have the conclusion for sufficiently large p. □

Though it is well-known, we show that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process u determined by

$$\mathcal{L}u^\alpha=\sigma^\alpha_\beta\partial_x\xi^\beta \tag{5.5}$$

has an invariant measure μ_A. Taking Fourier transform $u_0^{\alpha,k}=\hat{u}_0^\alpha(k)$, we can solve it as

$$u^{\alpha,k}(t)=e^{-2\pi^2k^2t}u^{\alpha,k}(0)-\sigma^\alpha_\beta(2\pi ik)\int_0^t e^{-2\pi^2k^2(t-s)}\xi^{\beta,k}(s)ds.$$

For a given $u(0)$, $\{u^{\alpha,k}(t)\}_{\alpha,k}$ is a Gaussian family. If $u(0)\sim\mu_A$, i.e., $u(0)$ is distributed under μ_A, and if $u(0)$ is independent of ξ, we see that $u^{\alpha,k}$ has mean zero and covariance

$$\begin{aligned}E[u^{\alpha,k}(t)u^{\beta,l}(t)]&=e^{-2\pi^2(k^2+l^2)t}E[u^{\alpha,k}(0)u^{\beta,k}(0)]\\&\quad+\sigma^\alpha_{\gamma_1}\sigma^\beta_{\gamma_2}(2\pi ik)(2\pi il)\int_0^t e^{-4\pi^2k^2(t-s)}\delta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}1_{\{k+l=0\}}ds\\&=A^{\alpha\beta}1_{\{k+l=0\}}\left(e^{-4\pi^2k^2t}+4\pi^2k^2\int_0^t e^{-4\pi^2k^2(t-s)}ds\right)=A^{\alpha\beta}1_{\{k+l=0\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $u(t)\sim\mu_A$ for every $t>0$.

5.3 Galerkin approximation

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the approximation

$$\partial_t u^{N,\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u^{N,\alpha} + F_N^\alpha(u^N) + \sigma_\beta^\alpha \partial_x \xi^\beta, \tag{5.6}$$

of the equation (5.1), where

$$F_N^\alpha(u^N) = \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x P_N(P_N u^{N,\beta} P_N u^{N,\gamma}),$$

and $P_N = \psi(N^{-1}D)$ is the Fourier multiplier defined by an even cut-off function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ taking values in $[0,1]$ and supported in the interval $[-1,1]$. Since F_N depends on finitely many Fourier components of u^N, the equation (5.6) is well-posed.

Unlike the usual Galerkin approximation, we use the operator P_N rather than Fourier cut-off $\Pi_N = 1_{[-N,N]}(D)$. This is because P_N has the approximating properties

$$\|P_N u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}, \quad \|P_N u - u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha-\delta}} \lesssim N^{-\delta}\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha},$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in [0,2]$; see Lemma A.5 of [8], Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 of [13]. We can construct the solution map $u^N = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}^N(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U}^N)$ corresponding to (5.6), where $\mathbb{U}^N$ is defined by the stationary solutions of

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\alpha} &= \sigma_\beta^\alpha \partial_x \xi^\beta,\\
\mathcal{L}U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x P_N(P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\beta} P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\gamma}),\\
\mathcal{L}U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\uparrow\uparrow}}^{N,\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x P_N(P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\beta} P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\gamma}),\\
\mathcal{L}U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\diagup}}^{N,\alpha} &= \partial_x P_N^2 U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and products

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet\bullet}{\vee}}^{N,\beta\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x(P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\beta} P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\gamma}),\\
U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\diagup}}^{N,\beta\gamma} &= \partial_x(P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\beta} \odot P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\gamma}),\\
U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\diagup\bullet}}^{N,\beta\gamma} &= P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\diagup}}^{N,\beta} \odot P_N U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^{N,\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

From the approximating properties of P_N, we have that $S_{\mathrm{CSB}}^N \to S_{\mathrm{CSB}}$ similarly to the approximation $S_{\mathrm{KPZ}}^\varepsilon$.

Theorem 5.3. *If $(v^N(0), w^N(0)) \to (v(0), w(0))$ in $(\mathcal{C}_0^\mu)^d \times (\mathcal{C}_0^{2\mu})^d$ and $\mathbb{U}^N \to \mathbb{U}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{CSB}}^\kappa$, then we have $S_{\mathrm{CSB}}^N(v^N(0), w^N(0), \mathbb{U}^N) \to S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$ in $C([0, T_{\mathrm{sur}}), (\mathcal{C}_0^{(\kappa-1)\wedge\mu\wedge 2\mu})^d)$.*

If we define $\mathbb{U}^N$ by (5.7)-(5.8) from the space-time white noise ξ, then $S_{\mathrm{CSB}}^N(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U}^N)$ coincides with the strong solution of (5.6) with initial value $(U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet}{\uparrow}}^N + U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\uparrow}}^N + U_{\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\bullet\bullet}{\uparrow\uparrow}}^N + v + w)(0)$.

Our goal is to show that μ_A is invariant under (u^N) if the trilinear condition (1.7) holds; see Proposition 5.5 below. Let u_{OU} be the solution of (5.5) with initial value $u^N(0)$. Obviously, the solution of (5.6) is given by $u^N = \Pi_N^\perp u_{\mathrm{OU}} + U^N$, where $\Pi_N^\perp := 1 - \Pi_N$ and U^N solves the finite dimensional SDE

$$\partial_t U^{N,\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 U^{N,\alpha} + F_N^\alpha(U^N) + \sigma_\beta^\alpha \partial_x \Pi_N \xi^\beta \tag{5.9}$$

with $U^N(0) = \Pi_N u^N(0)$. If $u^N(0) \sim \mu_A$ independently of ξ, then $\Pi_N^\perp u^N(0) \sim (\Pi_N^\perp)^{-1}\mu_A$ is independent of $\Pi_N u^N(0) \sim (\Pi_N)^{-1}\mu_A$. Since μ_A is invariant under u_{OU}, we have $\Pi_N^\perp u^N(t) \sim (\Pi_N^\perp)^{-1}\mu_A$ for all t. Thus we need the following lemma to complete the proof of the invariance of μ_A under (u^N).

Lemma 5.4. *If the trilinear condition* (1.7) *holds, then the solution* U^N *of* (5.9) *exists globally in time, and admits* $\mu_A^N := (\Pi_N)^{-1}\mu_A$ *as an invariant measure.*

Proof. Note that if we define $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := (A^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha'}\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta\gamma}$, then the conditions (1.2) and (1.7) are equivalent to

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\gamma\beta} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha\gamma}. \tag{5.10}$$

Indeed, since $(A^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_\gamma \tau^\gamma_\alpha \tau^\gamma_{\alpha'}$ and $\tau^\alpha_{\alpha'}\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta\gamma} = \tau^{\beta'}_\beta \tau^{\gamma'}_\gamma \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta'\gamma'}$,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma'} \tau^{\gamma'}_\alpha \tau^{\gamma'}_{\alpha'}\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma'} \tau^{\gamma'}_\alpha \tau^{\beta'}_\beta \tau^{\gamma''}_\gamma \hat{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\beta'\gamma''}.$$

Thus $\hat{\Gamma}^{\gamma'}_{\beta'\gamma''} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\gamma''}$ leads $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha\gamma}$. From (5.10), we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle F_N^\alpha(u), u^\beta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta'\gamma}\langle P_N u^{\beta'} P_N u^\gamma, \partial_x P_N u^\beta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{6}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\beta'\gamma}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_x(P_N u^{\beta'} P_N u^\gamma P_N u^\beta)(x)dx = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle U^{N,\alpha}, U^{N,\beta}\rangle(t) \\ &= 2(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle U^{N,\alpha}(t), dU^{N,\beta}(t)\rangle + (A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle dU^{N,\alpha}(t), dU^{N,\beta}(t)\rangle \\ &= -(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle \partial_x U^{N,\alpha}, \partial_x U^{N,\beta}\rangle(t)dt + (A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle F_N^\alpha(U^N), U^{N,\beta}\rangle(t)dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{|k|\le N} 4\pi^2 k^2 dt + \text{martingale}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the first term is negative definite and the second term vanishes because of (5.11), $(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle U^{N,\alpha}, U^{N,\beta}\rangle(t)$ is finite a.s. for all t. This implies that $U^N(t)$ exists for all t because A^{-1} is non-degenerate.

Next we show the invariance of μ_A^N. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the orthonormal basis

$$e_k(x) = \begin{cases}\sqrt{2}\cos(2\pi kx), & k > 0, \\ \sqrt{2}\sin(2\pi kx), & k < 0,\end{cases}$$

of $H = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}); \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x)dx = 0\}$. We write $u^{\alpha,k} = \langle u^\alpha, e_k\rangle$ for $u = (u^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d \in H^d$. For every smooth function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{2Nd}(\simeq (\Pi_N H)^d) \to \mathbb{R}$, which has bounded derivatives, by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}d\Phi(U^N)(t) &= \partial_{(\alpha,k)}\Phi(U^N)dU^{N,\alpha,k}(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^2_{(\alpha,k)(\beta,k)}\Phi(U^N)dU^{N,\alpha,k}dU^{N,\beta,l}(t) \\ &= -\sum_{|k|\le N} 2\pi^2 k^2 (U^{N,\alpha,k}\partial_{(\alpha,k)} - A^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2_{(\alpha,k)(\beta,k)})\Phi(U^N)dt\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ F_N^{\alpha,k}(U^N)\partial_{(\alpha,k)}\Phi(U^N)dt + \text{martingale} \\
&=: L_1^N\Phi(U^N)dt + L_2^N\Phi(U^N)dt + \text{martingale}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Echeverría's criterion [3], to complete the proof of the invariance of μ_A^N, it suffices to show $\int(L_1^N + L_2^N)\Phi(u)\mu_A^N(du) = 0$. Since L_1 is the generator of $\Pi_N u_{\mathrm{OU}}$, under which μ_A^N is invariant, we have

$$
\int L_1^N\Phi(u)\mu_A^N(du) = 0.
$$

For L_2, note that under μ_A^N the $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued random variables $\{(u^{\alpha,k})\}_k$ are independent and each of them has the distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,A)$. Since $\mathcal{N}(0,A)$ has a density function $\gamma_A(u^k), u^k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying $\partial_\beta\gamma_A(u^k) = -(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}u^{\alpha,k}\gamma_A(u^k)$, by the integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int L_2^N\Phi(u)\mu_A^N(du) &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2Nd}} \partial_{(\alpha,k)}\Phi(u)F_N^{\alpha,k}(u) \prod_{0<|l|\le N}\gamma_A(u^l)du^l \\
&= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2Nd}} \Phi(u)F_N^{\alpha,k}(u)(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}u^{\beta,k} \prod_{0<|l|\le N}\gamma_A(u^l)du^l \\
&= \int_{(\Pi_N H)^d} \Phi(u)(A^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\langle F_N^\alpha(u), u^\beta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\mu_A^N(du) = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

from (5.11). Here we have used $\partial_{(\alpha,k)}F_N^{\alpha,k}(u) = 0$ because $F_N^{\alpha,k}(u)$ does not depend on the component $u^{\alpha,k}$. □

If $\Pi_N^\perp u^N(t) \sim (\Pi_N^\perp)^{-1}\mu_A$ and $\Pi_N u^N(t) \sim \mu_A^N$, then $u^N(t) \sim \mu_A$ by definition. As a consequence, we have the invariance of μ_A under (u^N).

Proposition 5.5. *If the trilinear condition* (1.7) *holds, the solution* u^N *of* (5.6) *exists globally in time, and admits* μ_A *as an invariant measure.*

5.4 Global existence for a.e.-initial values

Let $\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{U}^N$ be the $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{CSB}}^\kappa$-random variables defined from the space-time white noise ξ, corresponding to the equations (5.1) and (5.6), respectively. The following result is obtained similarly to Theorem 3.2 and the proof is omitted.

Theorem 5.6. *For every* $T > 0$ *and* $p \ge 1$,

$$
\lim_{N\to\infty} E\|\!|\mathbb{U}^N - \mathbb{U}|\!\|_T^p = 0.
$$

In particular, $u^N = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}^N(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U}^N)$ *converges to* $u = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$ *in probability as* $N \to \infty$ *in* $C([0, T_{\mathrm{sur}}), (\mathcal{C}_0^{(\kappa-1)\wedge\mu\wedge 2\mu})^d)$.

From now we set $\mu = \frac{\kappa-1}{2}$, so that $(\kappa-1)\wedge\mu\wedge 2\mu = \kappa - 1$. We consider initial values $u(0) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$ and set

$$
v(0) = 0, \quad w(0) = u(0) - U_{\varolessthan}(0) - U_{\varogreaterthan}(0) - U_{\varodot}(0). \tag{5.12}
$$

We can prove the following result in a similar way to Theorem 5.1 of [2]. Note that if $T_{\rm sur}$ is finite then

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T_{\rm sur}} \|u\|_{C([0,t],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)} = \infty, \tag{5.13}$$

cf., Theorem 2.1-(2). Our main result of this section is formulated as follows.

Theorem 5.7. *We assume the trilinear condition* (1.7)*. Then, for every $T > 0$ and μ_A-a.e. $u(0) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$, there exists a unique solution $(v, w) \in \mathcal{D}^{\lambda,\mu}_{\rm CSB}([0,T])$ of the system* (5.2) *with initial values* (5.12)*, which satisfies for every $p \geq 1$,*

$$E\|S_{\rm CSB}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})\|^p_{C([0,T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)} < \infty.$$

In particular, $T_{\rm sur} = \infty$ a.s. Furthermore, $u = S_{\rm CSB}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$ is a Markov process on $(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$ which admits μ_A as an invariant measure.

By the equivalence of $S_{\rm KPZ}$ and $S_{\rm CSB}$ shown in Theorem 5.1, we have $T^{\rm KPZ}_{\rm sur} = \infty$ a.s. when $\partial_x h(0)$ is taken from μ_A-full set of $(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$. This together with Theorem 5.7 implies Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. We denote by $u^N(\cdot, u(0))$ the solution of (5.6) with initial value $u(0)$. The local existence result like Theorem 2.1-(1) holds even for the stochastic Burgers equation, which implies that there exist $C, C' > 0$ independent of N such that, for given $M > 0$, if

$$\|\mathbb{U}^N\|_T^3 \leq CM, \quad \|u(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} \leq CM,$$

then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t^*_M]} \|u^N(t, u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} \leq M, \quad t^*_M = C'M^{-\frac{2}{\kappa-\lambda}} \wedge T.$$

This yields that for every $u(0) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^N(t, u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > M) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[T/t^*_M]} P(\sup_{t\in[kt^*_M,(k+1)t^*_M]} \|u^N(t, u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > M) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[T/t^*_M]} P(\|u(kt^*_M, u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > CM) + ([T/t^*_M] + 1)P(\|\mathbb{U}^N\|^3_{2T} > CM).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_N E[\|\mathbb{U}^N\|^p_{2T}] < \infty$ for every $p \geq 1$, the second term is bounded as

$$([T/t^*_M] + 1)P(\|\mathbb{U}^N\|^3_{2T} > CM) \lesssim_p M^{-p}.$$

For the first term, since μ_A is invariant under u^N and μ_A is Gaussian, we have

$$\int_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} P(\|u(kt^*_M, u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > CM)\mu_A(du(0))$$

$$= \mu_A(\|u(0)\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > CM) \lesssim_p M^{-p}.$$

These are summarized into the bound

$$\int_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^N(t,u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} > M)\mu_A(du(0)) \lesssim_p M^{-p},$$

for every $p \geq 1$, which leads

$$\int_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} E[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^N(t,u(0))\|^p_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d}]\mu_A(du(0)) \lesssim_p 1.$$

Since bounded set in $L^p(\Omega \times (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d, P \times \mu_A)$ is weak* compact for $p > 1$, there exists a subsequence $\{N_k\}$ and $M \in L^p(\Omega \times (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d, P \times \mu_A)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^{N_k}(t,u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} \to M$$

as $k \to \infty$ in weak* topology. On the other hand, for every $u(0) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, u^{N_k} converges to $u = S_{\mathrm{CSB}}(v(0), w(0), \mathbb{U})$ in probability in the space $C([0, (\frac{m-1}{m}T_{\mathrm{sur}}) \wedge T], (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)$. Since $\|u^{N_k}(\cdot, u(0))\|_{C([0,(\frac{m-1}{m}T_{\mathrm{sur}})\wedge T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)}$ is also bounded in $L^p(\Omega\times(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d, P\times\mu_A)$, there exists a subsequence $\{N_{k_m}\}$ and $M^m \in L^p(\Omega \times (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d, P \times \mu_A)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,(\frac{m-1}{m}T_{\mathrm{sur}})\wedge T]} \|u^{N_{k_m}}(\cdot,u(0))\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d} \to M^m$$

as $k_m \to \infty$ in weak* topology for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. From the uniqueness of the limit, we have

$$\|u\|_{C([0,(\frac{m-1}{m}T_{\mathrm{sur}})\wedge T],(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)} = M^m \leq M, \quad P \times \mu_A\text{-a.e.}$$

Taking the limit $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T_{\mathrm{sur}}\wedge T),(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)} \leq M, \quad P \times \mu_A\text{-a.e.}$$

This implies that for μ_A-a.e. $u(0)$, $u(t)$ does not explode as $t \uparrow T_{\mathrm{sur}}$ against (5.13), so that $T_{\mathrm{sur}} \geq T$ a.s. Since T is arbitrary, $T_{\mathrm{sur}} = \infty$ a.s.

Markov property of u is obtained as follows. Let $u = u(\cdot, u(0), \mathbb{U}) \in C([0, T_{\mathrm{sur}}), (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d)$ be the solution starting at $u(0)$ and driven by $\mathbb{U}$. Now we introduce the space $(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d \cup \{\Delta\}$ and the distance

$$d(u, \Delta) = (1 + \|u\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d})^{-1},$$

and, by defining $u(t) = \Delta$ for $t \geq T_{\mathrm{sur}}$, we regard u as a random variable taking values in $C([0,\infty), (\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d \cup \{\Delta\})$. By the uniqueness result, we have the identity

$$u(t, u(s, u(0), \mathbb{U}), \tau_s\mathbb{U}) = u(s + t, u(0), \mathbb{U}), \quad s, t \geq 0,$$

where τ_s is a shift operator defined by $\tau_s\mathbb{U}(\cdot) = \mathbb{U}(\cdot + s)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_t$ be the σ-algebra generated by $\{\xi(\mathbf{1}_{(r,s]}\cdot)\}_{-\infty<r<s\leq t}$. Then from the construction of the solution, for deterministic element v, $u(s, v, \mathbb{U})$ is $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable and $u(t, v, \tau_s\mathbb{U})$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s$. As a consequence, for every bounded measurable function Φ on $(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d \cup \{\Delta\}$, we have

$$E[\Phi(u(s + t, u(0), \mathbb{U}))|\mathcal{F}_s] = P_{st}(u(s, u(0), \mathbb{U})),$$

where $P_{st}(v) := E[\Phi(u(t, v, \tau_s\mathbb{U}))]$. In particular, when $u(0) \sim \mu_A$ independently of ξ, since $T_{\mathrm{sur}} = \infty$ almost surely, u is a Markov process on $(\mathcal{C}_0^{\kappa-1})^d$. The invariance of μ_A under $(u(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an immediate consequence of the convergence $u^N(t) \to u(t)$ and Proposition 5.5. □

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Cédric Bernardin for bringing the paper [4] into their attention and Xue-Mei Li for her interest in this paper, especially, the discussion on the trilinear conditions (1.5) and (1.7).

References

[1] H. Bahouri, J-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Springer, 2011.

[2] G. Da Prato and A. Debussche, *Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations driven by a space-time white noise*, J. Funct. Anal., **196** (2002), 180–210.

[3] P. Echeverría, *A criterion for invariant measures of Markov processes*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, **61** (1982), 1–16.

[4] D. Ertaş and M. Kardar, *Dynamic roughening of directed lines*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69** (1992), 929–932.

[5] P.L. Ferrari, T. Sasamoto and H. Spohn, *Coupled Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equations in one dimension*, J. Stat. Phys., **153** (2013), 377–399.

[6] T. Funaki, *Infinitesimal invariance for the coupled KPZ equations*, Memoriam Marc Yor – Séminaire de Probabilités XLVII, Lect. Notes Math., **2137**, 37–47, Springer, 2015.

[7] T. Funaki and J. Quastel, *KPZ equation, its renormalization and invariant measures*, Stoch. PDE: Anal. Comp., **3** (2015), 159–220.

[8] M. Gubinelli, P. Imkeller and N. Perkowski, *Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs*, Forum Math., Pi, **3** (2015) 75pp.

[9] M. Gubinelli and N. Perkowski, *KPZ reloaded*, arXiv:1508.03877.

[10] M. Hairer, *Solving the KPZ equation*, Ann. Math, **178** (2013), 559–664.

[11] M. Hairer, *A theory of regularity structures*, Invent. Math., **198** (2014), 269–504.

[12] M. Hairer and J. Mattingly, *The strong Feller property for singular stochastic PDEs*, arXiv:1610.03415.

[13] M. Hoshino, *Paracontrolled calculus and Funaki-Quastel approximation for the KPZ equation*, arXiv:1605.02624.

[14] P. Major, *Multiple Wiener-Itô integrals, with applications to limit theorems*, Lect. Notes Math., **849**, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2014.

[15] J.-C. Mourrat and H. Weber, *Global well-posedness of the dynamic Φ^4_3 model on the torus*, arXiv 1601.01234.

[16] H. Spohn, *Nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics for anharmonic chains*, J. Stat. Phys., **154** (2014), 1191–1227.

[17] H. Spohn and G. Stoltz, *Nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics in one dimension: the case of two conserved fields*, J. Stat. Phys., **160** (2015), 861–884.